

An Introduction to Cybersecurity Information Sharing

MISP - Threat Sharing

CIRCL / Team MISP Project

MISP Project

<https://www.misp-project.org/>

University of Lorraine



MISP
Threat Sharing

- 09:00 - 13:00 Threat Intelligence and MISP practical usage

- During a malware analysis workgroup in 2012, we discovered that we worked on the analysis of the same malware.
- We wanted to share information in an easy and automated way **to avoid duplication of work.**
- Christophe Vandeplass (then working at the CERT for the Belgian MoD) showed us his work on a platform that later became MISP.
- A first version of the MISP Platform was used by the MALWG and **the increasing feedback of users** helped us to build an improved platform.
- MISP is now **a community-driven development.**

The Computer Incident Response Center Luxembourg (CIRCL) is a government-driven initiative designed to provide a systematic response facility to computer security threats and incidents. CIRCL is the CERT for the private sector, communes and non-governmental entities in Luxembourg and is operated by securitymadein.lu g.i.e.

- CIRCL is mandated by the Ministry of Economy and acting as the Luxembourg National CERT for private sector.
- CIRCL leads the development of the Open Source MISP threat intelligence platform which is used by many military or intelligence communities, private companies, financial sector, National CERTs and LEAs globally.
- **CIRCL runs multiple large MISP communities performing active daily threat-intelligence sharing.**



Co-financed by the European Union


Connecting Europe Facility

WHAT IS MISP?

- MISP is a **threat information sharing** platform that is free & open source software
- A tool that **collects** information from partners, your analysts, your tools, feeds
- Normalises, **correlates, enriches** the data
- Allows teams and communities to **collaborate**
- **Feeds** automated protective tools and analyst tools with the output

- There are many different types of users of an information sharing platform like MISP:
 - ▶ **Malware reversers** willing to share indicators of analysis with respective colleagues.
 - ▶ **Security analysts** searching, validating and using indicators in operational security.
 - ▶ **Intelligence analysts** gathering information about specific adversary groups.
 - ▶ **Law-enforcement** relying on indicators to support or bootstrap their DFIR cases.
 - ▶ **Risk analysis teams** willing to know about the new threats, likelihood and occurrences.
 - ▶ **Fraud analysts** willing to share financial indicators to detect financial frauds.

MISP MODEL OF GOVERNANCE



MANY OBJECTIVES FROM DIFFERENT USER-GROUPS

- Sharing indicators for a **detection** matter.
 - ▶ 'Do I have infected systems in my infrastructure or the ones I operate?'
- Sharing indicators to **block**.
 - ▶ 'I use these attributes to block, sinkhole or divert traffic.'
- Sharing indicators to **perform intelligence**.
 - ▶ 'Gathering information about campaigns and attacks. Are they related? Who is targeting me? Who are the adversaries?'
- → These objectives can be conflicting (e.g. False-positives have different impacts)


COMMUNITIES USING MISP

- Communities are groups of users sharing within a set of common objectives/values.
- CIRCL operates multiple MISP instances with a significant user base (more than 1200 organizations with more than 4000 users).
- **Trusted groups** running MISP communities in island mode (air gapped system) or partially connected mode.
- **Financial sector** (banks, ISACs, payment processing organizations) use MISP as a sharing mechanism.
- **Military and international organizations** (NATO, military CSIRTs, n/g CERTs,...).
- **Security vendors** running their own communities (e.g. Fidelis) or interfacing with MISP communities (e.g. OTX).
- **Topical communities** set up to tackle individual specific issues (COVID-19 MISP)

- Sharing difficulties are not really technical issues but often it's a matter of **social interactions** (e.g. **trust**).
- Legal restriction¹
 - ▶ "Our legal framework doesn't allow us to share information."
 - ▶ "Risk of information-leak is too high and it's too risky for our organization or partners."
- Practical restriction
 - ▶ "We don't have information to share."
 - ▶ "We don't have time to process or contribute indicators."
 - ▶ "Our model of classification doesn't fit your model."
 - ▶ "Tools for sharing information are tied to a specific format, we use a different one."

¹<https://www.misp-project.org/compliance/>

MISP PROJECT OVERVIEW



GETTING SOME NAMING CONVENTIONS OUT OF THE WAY...

■ Data layer

- ▶ **Events** are encapsulations for contextually linked information
- ▶ **Attributes** are individual data points, which can be indicators or supporting data
- ▶ **Objects** are custom templated Attribute compositions
- ▶ **Object references** are the relationships between other building blocks
- ▶ **Sightings** are time-specific occurrences of a given data-point detected

■ Context layer


- ▶ **Tags** are labels attached to events/attributes and can come from **Taxonomies**
- ▶ **Galaxy-clusters** are knowledge base items used to label events/attributes and come from **Galaxies**
- ▶ **Cluster relationships** denote pre-defined relationships between clusters

- Indicators²
 - ▶ Indicators contain a pattern that can be used to detect suspicious or malicious cyber activity.
- Attributes in MISP can be network indicators (e.g. IP address), system indicators (e.g. a string in memory) or even bank account details.
 - ▶ **A type (e.g. MD5, url) is how an attribute is described.**
 - ▶ An attribute is always in a category (e.g. Payload delivery) which puts it in a context.
 - **A category is what describes** an attribute.
 - ▶ An IDS flag on an attribute allows to determine if **an attribute can be automatically used for detection.**

²IoC (Indicator of Compromise) is a subset of indicators

A RICH DATA-MODEL: TELLING STORIES VIA RELATIONSHIPS

Date	Org	Category	Type	Value	Tags	Galaxies	Comment	Correlate	Related Events
Name: bank-account ✓ References: 0									
2018-09-28	Other	status-code:	text	A - Active	+	Add		<input type="checkbox"/>	
2018-09-28	Other	report-code:	text	STR Suspicious Transaction Report	+	Add		<input type="checkbox"/>	
2018-09-28	Other	personal-account-type:	text	A - Business	+	Add		<input type="checkbox"/>	
2018-09-28	Financial fraud	swift:	bic	HASEH09H	+	Add		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3849 11320 11584
2018-09-28	Financial fraud	account:	bank-account-iv	788796894883	+	Add		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2018-09-28	Other	account-name:	text	FANY SILU CO. LIMITED	+	Add		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2018-09-28	Other	currency-code:	text	USD	+	Add		<input type="checkbox"/>	



CONTEXTUALISATION AND AGGREGATION


- MISP integrates at the event and the attribute levels MITRE's Adversarial Tactics, Techniques, and Common Knowledge (ATT&CK).

Initial access	Execution	Persistence	Privilege escalation	Defense evasion	Credential access	Discovery	Lateral movement	Collection	Exfiltration	Command and control
Spearphishing Attachment	Scripting	Screensaver	File System Permissions Weakness	Process Hollowing	Securityd Memory	Password Policy Discovery	AppleScript	Data from Information Repositories	Exfiltration Over Alternative Protocol	Standard Application Layer Protocol
Spearphishing via Service	Command-Line Interface	Login item	AppCert DLLs	Code Signing	Input Capture	System Network Configuration Discovery	Distributed Component Object Model	Data from Removable Media	Exfiltration Over Command and Control Channel	Communication Through Removable Media
Trusted Relationship	User Execution	Trap	Application Shimring	Rookit	Bash History	Process Discovery	Pass the Hash	Man in the Browser	Data Compressed	Custom Command and Control Protocol
Replication Through Removable Media	Regsvcs/Regasm	System Firmware	Scheduled Task	NTFS File Attributes	Exploitation for Credential Access	Network Share Discovery	Exploitation of Remote Services	Data Staged	Automated Exfiltration	Multi-Stage Channels
Exploit Public-Facing Application	Trusted Developer Utilities	Registry Run Keys / Start Folder	Startup Items	Exploitation for Defense Evasion	Private Keys	Peripheral Device Discovery	Remote Desktop Protocol	Screen Capture	Scheduled Transfer	Remote Access Tools
Spearphishing Link	Windows Management Instrumentation	LC_LOAD_DYLIB Addition	New Service	Network Share Connection Removal	Brute Force	Account Discovery	Pass the Ticket	Email Collection	Data Encrypted	Uncommonly Used Port
Valid Accounts	Service Execution	LSASS Driver	Sudo Caching	Process Doppelgänger	Password Filter DLL	System Information Discovery	Windows Remote Management	Clipboard Data	Exfiltration Over Other Network Medium	Multilayer Encryption
Supply Chain Compromise	CMSTP	Rc common	Process Injection	Disabling Security Tools	Two-Factor Authentication Interception	System Network Connections Discovery	Windows Admin Shares	Video Capture	Exfiltration Over Physical Medium	Domain Fronting
Drive-by Compromise	Control Panel Items	Authentication Package	Bypass User Account Control	Timestamp	LLMNR/NBT-NS Poisoning	Network Service Scanning	Remote Services	Audio Capture	Data Transfer Size Limits	Data Obfuscation
Hardware Additions	Dynamic Data Exchange	Component Firmware	Extra Window Memory Injection	Modify Registry	Credentials in Files	File and Directory Discovery	Taint Shared Content	Data from Network Shared Drive		Connection Proxy
	Source	Windows Management Instrumentation Event Subscription	Setuid and Setgid	Indicator Removal from Tools	Forced Authentication	Security Software Discovery	Application Deployment Software	Data from Local System		Commonly Used Port
	Space after Filename	Change Default File	Launch Daemon	Hidden Window	Keychain	System Service Discovery	Third-party Software	Automated Collection		Data Encoding

- Sharing via distribution lists - **Sharing groups**
- **Delegation** for pseudo-anonymised information sharing
- **Proposals** and **Extended events** for collaborated information sharing
- Synchronisation, Feed system, air-gapped sharing
- User defined **filtered sharing** for all the above mentioned methods
- Cross-instance information **caching** for quick lookups of large data-sets
- Support for multi-MISP internal enclaves


MISP CORE DISTRIBUTED SHARING FUNCTIONALITY

- MISPs' core functionality is sharing where everyone can be a consumer and/or a contributor."
- Quick benefit without the obligation to contribute.
- Low barrier access to get acquainted to the system.



- Correlating data
- Feedback loop from detections via **Sightings**
- **False positive management** via the warninglist system
- **Enrichment system** via MISP-modules
- **Integrations** with a plethora of tools and formats
- Flexible **API** and support **libraries** such as PyMISP to ease integration
- **Timelines** and giving information a temporal context
- Full chain for **indicator life-cycle management**

CORRELATION FEATURES: A TOOL FOR ANALYSTS



- To **corroborate a finding** (e.g. is this the same campaign?), **reinforce an analysis** (e.g. do other analysts have the same hypothesis?), **confirm a specific aspect** (e.g. are the sinkhole IP addresses used for one campaign?) or just find if this **threat is new or unknown in your community**.

The screenshot displays a software interface for managing sightings. At the top, there is a table with the following columns: a checkbox, a status field, a date field, and an action menu. The table contains three rows, all with the status 'No'. A tooltip is visible over the first row, showing the text 'Sightings' and 'CIRCL: 2 (2017-03-19 16:17:59)'. Below the table, there is a detailed view of a sighting. The 'Sighting Details' section shows a red bar with the word 'No' in white. Below this, it lists 'MISP: 2' and 'CIRCL: 2'. A 'Discussion' button is located at the bottom of the details panel.

Event	Status	Date	Action
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	Inherit	

Tags: +

Date: 2016-02-24

Threat Level: High

Analysis: Initial

Distribution: Connected communities

freeltext test

Sighting Details: No

MISP: 2

CIRCL: 2

Discussion

- Has a data-point been **sighted** by me or the community before?
- Additionally, the sighting system supports negative sightings (FP) and expiration sightings.
- Sightings can be performed via the API or the UI.
- Many use-cases for **scoring indicators** based on users sighting.
- For large quantities of data, **SightingDB** by Devo

LIFE-CYCLE MANAGEMENT VIA DECAYING OF INDICATORS

The screenshot shows a web interface for managing indicators. At the top, there are navigation tabs: "Photos", "Galaxy", "Event graph", "Correlation graph", "ATTACK matrix", "Attributes", and "Discussion". Below these is a search bar containing "45: Decay...". A "Galaxies" section is visible with a search icon and a plus sign. Below that are navigation buttons: "previous", "next >", and "view all".

The main content is a table with columns: "Date", "Org", "Category", "Type", "Value", "Tags", "Galaxies", "Comment", "Correlate", "Related Events", "Feed hits", "IDS", "Distribution", "Sightings", "Activity", "Score", and "Actions". The table is filtered by "Decay score" (indicated by a blue toggle button). The table contains five rows of indicators, each with a "Score" column and a "Model 5" button. The scores are: 65.26, 54.6, 37.43, 37.41, and 23.31.

Date	Org	Category	Type	Value	Tags	Galaxies	Comment	Correlate	Related Events	Feed hits	IDS	Distribution	Sightings	Activity	Score	Actions
2019-09-12		Network activity	ip-src	5.5.5.5								Inherit	(0/0)		65.26	
2019-08-13		Network activity	ip-src	8.8.8.8	admirelly-scale:source-reliability="A" x retention:expired x				1 2 2 2 Show S1.1 S1.2 11 more...			Inherit	(5/0)	54.6		
2019-08-13		Network activity	ip-src	9.9.9.9	admirelly-scale:source-reliability="C" x misp:confidence-level="completely-confident" x Ipnumber				1 3 1 9 Show S1.1 28 more...			Inherit	(4/1)	37.43		
2019-08-13		Network activity	ip-src	7.7.7.7	admirelly-scale:information-credibility="4" x retention:20 x				41			Inherit	(3/0)	37.41		
2019-07-18		Network activity	ip-src	6.6.6.6					41			Inherit	(0/0)	23.31		

■ Decay score toggle button

- ▶ Shows Score for each Models associated to the Attribute type

DECAYING OF INDICATORS: FINE TUNING TOOL

Home | Event Actions | Reports | API Filters | Global Actions | Sync Actions | Approvals | Audit

Import Decaying Model
Add Decaying Model
Decaying Tool
List Decaying Models

Decaying Of Indicator Fine Tuning Tool

Show All Types | Show MISP Objects | Search Attribute Type

Attribute Type	Category	Model ID
aba-rtn	Financial fraud	
authen@hash	Payload delivery	
bank-account-ir	Financial fraud	
bc	Financial fraud	
bin	Financial fraud	
bro	Network activity	10 11
bc	Financial fraud	11
cc-number	Financial fraud	
cd@hash	Payload delivery	
community-id	Network activity	
domain	Network activity	
domain@ip	Network activity	10 94
email-attachment	Payload delivery	
email-dst	Network activity	11
email-enc	Payload delivery	
headers	Payload delivery	
headers@authen@hash	Payload delivery	
headers@ip@fuzzy	Payload delivery	
headers@ip@hash	Payload delivery	
headers@ip@MD	Payload delivery	12
headers@ip@hash	Payload delivery	13
headers@ip@h1	Payload delivery	13

Polynomial

Lifetime: 3 days | Expire after (lifetime): 1 days and 7 hours
Decay speed: 2.3 | Score halved after (Half-life): 0 day and 6 hours
Cutoff threshold: 30

Adjust base score | Simulate this model

Phishing model | Simple model to rapidly decay | Rate

Parameters													
ID	Model Name	Org ID	Description	Formula	Lifetime	Decay speed	Threshold	Default basescore	Basescore config	#	Types	Enabled	Action
29	Phishing model	1	Simple model to rapidly decay phishing website	Polynomial	3	2.3	30	80	estimate-language phishing 0.5	9		✓	Test model

Create, modify, visualise, perform mapping

DECAYING OF INDICATORS: SIMULATION TOOL

NIDS Simple Decaying Model

RestSearch [Specific ID](#)

Attribute RestSearch®

```
{
  "includeDecayScore": 1,
  "includeFullModel": 0,
  "score": 30,
  "includeDecayed": 0,
  "decayingModel": [8],
  "tag_id": 1,
  "tags": ["estimative-language"], "priority-levels": ["interior"], "timestamp.timezone": ""
}
```

[Search](#)

Base score Base score configuration not set. Use default value only.

Tag	Computation	ERT Ratio	Value	Result
<code>reap.confidence-level="usually-confident"</code>	0	X	75.00	0
<code>reap.confidence-level="fairly-confident"</code>	0	X	50.00	0
<code>generally-scale:source-reliability="x"</code>	0	X	100.00	0
<code>retention:expired</code>	0	X	NaN	0
<code>base_score</code>				88.00

Sighting: Wed Sep 4 12:18:09 2019 | Current score: 54.60

Date	Score
Aug 1	88
Aug 15	55
Aug 25	80
Aug 28	55
Sep 15	80
Sep 25	45
Oct 15	55
Oct 25	40
Nov 15	25
Dec 15	10
Dec 31	0

← previous next →

ID	Event #	Date	Org	Category	Type	Value	Tags	Event Tags	Galaxies	Comment	IDS	Sightings	Score
36758	45	2019-08-13	ORIGNAME	Network activity	ip-sic	7.7.7.7	<code>generally-scale:information-credibility="x"</code> <code>retention:2d</code>	<code>reap.confidence-level="usually-confident"</code> <code>reap.confidence-level="fairly-confident"</code>			✓		NIDS Simple Decaying ... 37.41
36757	45	2019-08-13	ORIGNAME	Network activity	ip-sic	8.8.8.8	<code>generally-scale:source-reliability="x"</code> <code>retention:expired</code>	<code>reap.confidence-level="usually-confident"</code> <code>reap.confidence-level="fairly-confident"</code>			✓		NIDS Simple Decaying ... 54.6

Page 1 of 1, showing 2 records out of 2 total, starting on record 1, ending on 2

← previous next →

Simulate *Attributes* with different *Models*

- We maintain the default CIRCL OSINT feeds (TLP:WHITE selected from our communities) in MISP to allow users to ease their bootstrapping.
- The format of the OSINT feed is based on standard MISP JSON output pulled from a remote TLS/HTTP server.
- Additional content providers can provide their own MISP feeds. (<https://botvrij.eu/>)
- Allows users to **test their MISP installations and synchronisation with a real dataset.**
- Opening contribution to other threat intel feeds but also allowing the analysis of overlapping data³.

³A recurring challenge in information sharing

- **Information sharing practices come from usage** and by example (e.g. learning by imitation from the shared information).
- MISIP is just a tool. What matters is your sharing practices. The tool should be as transparent as possible to support you.
- Enable users to customize MISIP to meet their community's use-cases.
- MISIP project combines open source software, open standards, best practices and communities to make information sharing a reality.

- Full Web Access to the MISP CIISI instance with one or more dedicated Org Admins user for the Member;
- Full API Access to the MISP CIISI instance and the ability to sync with one of several MISP instance(s) operated by the customer;
- Access to the ticketing system of CIRCL (**ciisi-ie@circl.lu**) for requesting the creation of new users or the update of access roles for existing users in the scope of the MISP CIISI instance;
- Privileged access to the MISP core team for guidance on information sharing best practices.

- The MISP CIISI-IE is accessible via <https://misp.ciisi-ie.ie/>;
- You can self-register;
- You'll be granted access and receive credentials by email;
- The MISP instance can be accessed via Web (UI), API or/and via synchronisation for existing MISP users;

- What kind of information would like to have? Additional OSINT feeds? Complementary intelligence from other sharing communities (CIISI-EU, X-ISAC and additional CIRCL communities)?
- Contextualisation of information in the CIISI-IE community. Which taxonomies to enable and use? Should we develop a specific one for the CIISI-IE community?
- What are your most common use-cases in your organisation for threat intelligence and information gathered?