



Figure 4.2.1. Sequential calls to the routine `trapzd` incorporate the information from previous calls and evaluate the integrand only at those new points necessary to refine the grid. The bottom line shows the totality of function evaluations after the fourth call. The routine `qsimp`, by weighting the intermediate results, transforms the trapezoid rule into Simpson's rule with essentially no additional overhead.

There are also formulas of higher order for this situation, but we will refrain from giving them.

The *semi-open formulas* are just the obvious combinations of equations (4.1.11)–(4.1.14) with (4.1.15)–(4.1.18), respectively. At the closed end of the integration, use the weights from the former equations; at the open end use the weights from the latter equations. One example should give the idea, the formula with error term decreasing as  $1/N^3$  which is closed on the right and open on the left:

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_N} f(x)dx = h \left[ \frac{23}{12}f_2 + \frac{7}{12}f_3 + f_4 + f_5 + \dots + f_{N-2} + \frac{13}{12}f_{N-1} + \frac{5}{12}f_N \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{N^3}\right) \quad (4.1.20)$$

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Abramowitz, M., and Stegun, I.A. 1964, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Applied Mathematics Series, Volume 55 (Washington: National Bureau of Standards; reprinted 1968 by Dover Publications, New York), §25.4. [1]

Isaacson, E., and Keller, H.B. 1966, *Analysis of Numerical Methods* (New York: Wiley), §7.1.

## 4.2 Elementary Algorithms

Our starting point is equation (4.1.11), the extended trapezoidal rule. There are two facts about the trapezoidal rule which make it the starting point for a variety of algorithms. One fact is rather obvious, while the second is rather “deep.”

The obvious fact is that, for a fixed function  $f(x)$  to be integrated between fixed limits  $a$  and  $b$ , one can double the number of intervals in the extended trapezoidal rule without losing the benefit of previous work. The coarsest implementation of the trapezoidal rule is to average the function at its endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ . The first stage of refinement is to add to this average the value of the function at the halfway point. The second stage of refinement is to add the values at the 1/4 and 3/4 points. And so on (see Figure 4.2.1).

Without further ado we can write a routine with this kind of logic to it:

```
#define FUNC(x) ((*func)(x))
```

```
float trapzd(float (*func)(float), float a, float b, int n)
```

This routine computes the  $n$ th stage of refinement of an extended trapezoidal rule. `func` is input as a pointer to the function to be integrated between limits `a` and `b`, also input. When called with  $n=1$ , the routine returns the crudest estimate of  $\int_a^b f(x)dx$ . Subsequent calls with  $n=2,3,\dots$  (in that sequential order) will improve the accuracy by adding  $2^{n-2}$  additional interior points.

```
{
    float x,tnm,sum,dcl;
    static float s;
    int it,j;

    if (n == 1) {
        return (s=0.5*(b-a)*(FUNC(a)+FUNC(b)));
    } else {
        for (it=1,j=1;j<n-1;j++) it <<= 1;
        tnm=it;
        dcl=(b-a)/tnm;           This is the spacing of the points to be added.
        x=a+0.5*dcl;
        for (sum=0.0,j=1;j<=it;j++,x+=dcl) sum += FUNC(x);
        s=0.5*(s+(b-a)*sum/tnm);   This replaces s by its refined value.
        return s;
    }
}
```

The above routine (`trapzd`) is a workhorse that can be harnessed in several ways. The simplest and crudest is to integrate a function by the extended trapezoidal rule where you know in advance (we can't imagine how!) the number of steps you want. If you want  $2^M + 1$ , you can accomplish this by the fragment

```
for(j=1;j<=m+1;j++) s=trapzd(func,a,b,j);
```

with the answer returned as `s`.

Much better, of course, is to refine the trapezoidal rule until some specified degree of accuracy has been achieved:

```
#include <math.h>
#define EPS 1.0e-5
#define JMAX 20
```

```
float qtrap(float (*func)(float), float a, float b)
```

Returns the integral of the function `func` from `a` to `b`. The parameters `EPS` can be set to the desired fractional accuracy and `JMAX` so that 2 to the power `JMAX-1` is the maximum allowed number of steps. Integration is performed by the trapezoidal rule.

```
{
    float trapzd(float (*func)(float), float a, float b, int n);
    void nrerror(char error_text[]);
    int j;
    float s,olds;

    olds = -1.0e30;
    for (j=1;j<=JMAX;j++) {
        s=trapzd(func,a,b,j);
        if (j > 5)
            if (fabs(s-olds) < EPS*fabs(olds) ||
                (s == 0.0 && olds == 0.0)) return s;
        olds=s;
    }
    nrerror("Too many steps in routine qtrap");
    return 0.0;
}
```

Sample page from NUMERICAL RECIPES IN C: THE ART OF SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING (ISBN 0-521-43108-5)  
Copyright (C) 1988-1992 by Cambridge University Press. Programs Copyright (C) 1988-1992 by Numerical Recipes Software.  
Permission is granted for internet users to make one paper copy for their own personal use. Further reproduction, or any copying of machine-readable files (including this one), to any server computer, is strictly prohibited. To order Numerical Recipes books, diskettes, or CDROMs visit website <http://www.nr.com> or call 1-800-872-7423 (North America only), or send email to [trade@cup.cam.ac.uk](mailto:trade@cup.cam.ac.uk) (outside North America).

Unsophisticated as it is, routine `qtrap` is in fact a fairly robust way of doing integrals of functions that are not very smooth. Increased sophistication will usually translate into a higher-order method whose efficiency will be greater only for sufficiently smooth integrands. `qtrap` is the method of choice, e.g., for an integrand which is a function of a variable that is linearly interpolated between measured data points. Be sure that you do not require too stringent an EPS, however: If `qtrap` takes too many steps in trying to achieve your required accuracy, accumulated roundoff errors may start increasing, and the routine may never converge. A value  $10^{-6}$  is just on the edge of trouble for most 32-bit machines; it is achievable when the convergence is moderately rapid, but not otherwise.

We come now to the “deep” fact about the extended trapezoidal rule, equation (4.1.11). It is this: The error of the approximation, which begins with a term of order  $1/N^2$ , is in fact *entirely even* when expressed in powers of  $1/N$ . This follows directly from the *Euler-Maclaurin Summation Formula*,

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_N} f(x) dx = h \left[ \frac{1}{2} f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \cdots + f_{N-1} + \frac{1}{2} f_N \right] - \frac{B_2 h^2}{2!} (f'_N - f'_1) - \cdots - \frac{B_{2k} h^{2k}}{(2k)!} (f_N^{(2k-1)} - f_1^{(2k-1)}) - \cdots \quad (4.2.1)$$

Here  $B_{2k}$  is a *Bernoulli number*, defined by the generating function

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (4.2.2)$$

with the first few even values (odd values vanish except for  $B_1 = -1/2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= 1 & B_2 &= \frac{1}{6} & B_4 &= -\frac{1}{30} & B_6 &= \frac{1}{42} \\ B_8 &= -\frac{1}{30} & B_{10} &= \frac{5}{66} & B_{12} &= -\frac{691}{2730} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.3)$$

Equation (4.2.1) is not a convergent expansion, but rather only an asymptotic expansion whose error when truncated at any point is always less than twice the magnitude of the first neglected term. The reason that it is not convergent is that the Bernoulli numbers become very large, e.g.,

$$B_{50} = \frac{495057205241079648212477525}{66}$$

The key point is that only even powers of  $h$  occur in the error series of (4.2.1). This fact is not, in general, shared by the higher-order quadrature rules in §4.1. For example, equation (4.1.12) has an error series beginning with  $O(1/N^3)$ , but continuing with all subsequent powers of  $N$ :  $1/N^4$ ,  $1/N^5$ , etc.

Suppose we evaluate (4.1.11) with  $N$  steps, getting a result  $S_N$ , and then again with  $2N$  steps, getting a result  $S_{2N}$ . (This is done by any two consecutive calls of

trapzd.) The leading error term in the second evaluation will be 1/4 the size of the error in the first evaluation. Therefore the combination

$$S = \frac{4}{3}S_{2N} - \frac{1}{3}S_N \quad (4.2.4)$$

will cancel out the leading order error term. But there *is* no error term of order  $1/N^3$ , by (4.2.1). The surviving error is of order  $1/N^4$ , the same as Simpson's rule. In fact, it should not take long for you to see that (4.2.4) is *exactly* Simpson's rule (4.1.13), alternating 2/3's, 4/3's, and all. This is the preferred method for evaluating that rule, and we can write it as a routine exactly analogous to qtrap above:

```
#include <math.h>
#define EPS 1.0e-6
#define JMAX 20

float qsimp(float (*func)(float), float a, float b)
Returns the integral of the function func from a to b. The parameters EPS can be set to the
desired fractional accuracy and JMAX so that 2 to the power JMAX-1 is the maximum allowed
number of steps. Integration is performed by Simpson's rule.
{
    float trapzd(float (*func)(float), float a, float b, int n);
    void nrerror(char error_text[]);
    int j;
    float s,st,ost,os;

    ost = os = -1.0e30;
    for (j=1;j<=JMAX;j++) {
        st=trapzd(func,a,b,j);
        s=(4.0*st-ost)/3.0;          Compare equation (4.2.4), above.
        if (j > 5)                  Avoid spurious early convergence.
            if (fabs(s-os) < EPS*fabs(os) ||
                (s == 0.0 && os == 0.0)) return s;
        os=s;
        ost=st;
    }
    nrerror("Too many steps in routine qsimp");
    return 0.0;                    Never get here.
}
```

The routine qsimp will in general be more efficient than qtrap (i.e., require fewer function evaluations) when the function to be integrated has a finite 4th derivative (i.e., a continuous 3rd derivative). The combination of qsimp and its necessary workhorse trapzd is a good one for light-duty work.

#### CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

- Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 1980, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (New York: Springer-Verlag), §3.3.
- Dahlquist, G., and Bjorck, A. 1974, *Numerical Methods* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), §§7.4.1–7.4.2.
- Forsythe, G.E., Malcolm, M.A., and Moler, C.B. 1977, *Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), §5.3.

### 4.3 Romberg Integration

We can view Romberg's method as the natural generalization of the routine `qsimp` in the last section to integration schemes that are of higher order than Simpson's rule. The basic idea is to use the results from  $k$  successive refinements of the extended trapezoidal rule (implemented in `trapzd`) to remove all terms in the error series up to but not including  $O(1/N^{2k})$ . The routine `qsimp` is the case of  $k = 2$ . This is one example of a very general idea that goes by the name of *Richardson's deferred approach to the limit*: Perform some numerical algorithm for various values of a parameter  $h$ , and then extrapolate the result to the continuum limit  $h = 0$ .

Equation (4.2.4), which subtracts off the leading error term, is a special case of polynomial extrapolation. In the more general Romberg case, we can use Neville's algorithm (see §3.1) to extrapolate the successive refinements to zero stepsize. Neville's algorithm can in fact be coded very concisely within a Romberg integration routine. For clarity of the program, however, it seems better to do the extrapolation by function call to `polint`, already given in §3.1.

```
#include <math.h>
#define EPS 1.0e-6
#define JMAX 20
#define JMAXP (JMAX+1)
#define K 5
Here EPS is the fractional accuracy desired, as determined by the extrapolation error estimate;
JMAX limits the total number of steps; K is the number of points used in the extrapolation.

float qromb(float (*func)(float), float a, float b)
Returns the integral of the function func from a to b. Integration is performed by Romberg's
method of order 2K, where, e.g., K=2 is Simpson's rule.
{
    void polint(float xa[], float ya[], int n, float x, float *y, float *dy);
    float trapzd(float (*func)(float), float a, float b, int n);
    void nrerror(char error_text[]);
    float ss,dss;
    float s[JMAXP],h[JMAXP+1];           These store the successive trapezoidal approxi-
    int j;                               mations and their relative stepsizes.

    h[1]=1.0;
    for (j=1;j<=JMAX;j++) {
        s[j]=trapzd(func,a,b,j);
        if (j >= K) {
            polint(&h[j-K],&s[j-K],K,0.0,&ss,&dss);
            if (fabs(dss) <= EPS*fabs(ss)) return ss;
        }
        h[j+1]=0.25*h[j];
        This is a key step: The factor is 0.25 even though the stepsize is decreased by only
        0.5. This makes the extrapolation a polynomial in  $h^2$  as allowed by equation (4.2.1),
        not just a polynomial in  $h$ .
    }
    nrerror("Too many steps in routine qromb");
    return 0.0;                          Never get here.
}
```

The routine `qromb`, along with its required `trapzd` and `polint`, is quite powerful for sufficiently smooth (e.g., analytic) integrands, integrated over intervals